

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,544		03/16/2004	Jeffery L. Wang	12553/129	12553/129 3727	
25693	7590	07/14/2006		EXAMINER		
	N & KENY		KIM, PAUL D			
	ARK TOWE AN CARLO	ERS, SUITE 600 OS ST.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	SE, CA 951			3729		
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Land the second second			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/802,544	WANG ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul D. Kim	3729	:
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nee with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing dat		in the final coloration and	dahawa in tatan da
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		E FIRST REPLY WAS I	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriately set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 7/5/06. A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	, but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further or (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	ow);	•	the terms of a
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		inpliant / information	(1.102.02.1).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	• —	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: ____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Paul D Kim

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3729

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/802,544

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the prior art of record fails to teach the claimed invention such as an actuator coil assembly having a first and second mating portions, which the first and second mating portions are distinct. Upon further consideration, examiner traverses the argument that there is no such limitation that the first and second mating portions are separate structures or distinct. The first and second mating portions recited in the claim 10 do not have to be separate structures. Tsujino et al. teach an actuator coil assembly having a first mating portion in order to couple with the HGA mating portion and a second mating portion in order to couple with the FPC mating portion as shown in Fig. 2. According to the description in col. 2, lines 19-24, The HGA mating portion is joined with the first mating portion of the actuator coil assembly by the swage portion (30). Therefore, the applicant's argument of the actuator coil assembly of Tsujino et al. is not persuasive and examiner maintains his rejection as set forth above.